

## [Bisoprolol](#)

Le Comité d'experts, après évaluation, refuse d'inscrire le médicament proposé dans la demande.

La Liste Modèle des Médicaments Essentiels fait état des raisons que les membres du Comité ont identifiées pour refuser l'inscription.

Refusée

Section:

[5. Medicines for neurological disorders 5.1. Medicines for central nervous system disorders 5.1.5. Medicines for headache disorders 5.1.5.2. Medicines for migraine prophylaxis](#)

Codes ATC: [C07AB07](#)

Indication

Migraine Code ICD11: [8A80.Z](#)

INN

Bisoprolol

Type de médicament

Chemical agent

Type de liste

Liste de base

Formulations

**Oral > Solid:** 1.25 mg ; 5 mg

Historique des statuts LME

Demande refusée en 2025 ([TRS 1064](#))

Sexe

Tous

Âge

Adolescents et adultes

Équivalence thérapeutique

La recommandation concerne ce médicament spécifique

Renseignements sur le brevet

Patents have expired in most jurisdictions

Lire la suite [sur les brevets.](#)

Wikipédia

[Bisoprolol](#)

[DrugBank](#)

[Bisoprolol](#)

Recommandation du comité d'experts



The Expert Committee noted that migraine is a common primary headache disorder characterized by recurrent moderate to severe pain and acknowledged the public health relevance of effective treatments. Migraine is a cause of considerable disability and results in a substantial socioeconomic burden, which is greater for women than for men. Migraine prophylaxis is generally recommended in the presence of at least four migraine days per month and/or when migraine substantially affects quality of life. The Committee noted that several classes of medication are commonly used for migraine prophylaxis, including antidepressants, anticonvulsants, antihypertensives and calcitonin gene-related peptide (receptor) monoclonal antibodies. Currently, the Model Lists include only propranolol for migraine prophylaxis. The Committee noted that the only evidence for bisoprolol presented in the application was a single randomized controlled trial that compared oral bisoprolol 5 mg or 10 mg to placebo over a treatment period of 12 weeks in people with migraine and that this trial was at serious risk of bias. The trial showed a significant reduction in the frequency of migraine attacks but no effect on the duration and severity of the attacks. No studies comparing propranolol with bisoprolol are available. The Committee noted that bisoprolol and propranolol differ in their pharmacokinetic properties (e.g. half-life, duration of action). The potential advantage of a reduced frequency of dosing with bisoprolol may be offset by a prolonged-release formulation of propranolol. The Committee accepted the known safety profile and contraindications of beta-blockers. Data on safety of propranolol and bisoprolol for the prophylaxis of migraine are limited, however no additional serious safety signals emerge. The Committee acknowledged that the benefit of beta blockers for episodic migraine seems to be a class effect, but that most data and the highest quality data are available for propranolol. The Committee considered that the data presented for bisoprolol were too limited and of inadequate quality to support EML listing. Based on these considerations, the Expert Committee did not recommend the inclusion of bisoprolol on the EML as a therapeutic alternative to propranolol due to there being inadequate evidence for comparative benefit.

Contexte



The application proposed an extension of the indications for the listing of bisoprolol on the core list of the EML to include migraine prophylaxis in adults as a therapeutic alternative to propranolol. Bisoprolol has not previously been evaluated for inclusion on the EML for migraine prophylaxis. It is currently listed for use in the treatment of cardiovascular conditions (angina, arrhythmias, hypertension and heart failure). Propranolol is currently included in the EML for migraine prophylaxis.

Pertinence pour la santé publique



Migraine is a prevalent neurovascular disorder characterized by moderate to severe headache attacks, often accompanied by nausea, vomiting, photophobia/phonophobia and sensitivity to external stimuli (light, noise, odours). Symptoms are disabling and affect participation in life activities, quality of life and productivity (1). In around 25% of those affected, episodes may be preceded by transient focal neurological symptoms – most commonly visual disturbances, less commonly paresthesias, rarely motor or language deficits. The global prevalence of migraine is estimated at 14–15% (more than 1 billion people worldwide), and it is two to three times higher in women than men (2). According to the Global Burden of Disease study 2021, migraine was the fourth highest cause of years lived with disability at level 4 (3). In an analysis of the Global Burden of Disease study 2016, migraine accounted for 45.1 million

disability-adjusted life years (4). Around 2-3% of people with episodic migraine (headache on < 15 days/month) transition to more disabling chronic migraine (headache on ≥ 15 days/month of which a majority are with symptoms of migraine) (5). One of the main risk factors for the transition to chronic migraine is poorly managed acute treatment and the overuse of acute medications (6).

#### Bénéfices

The applicants conducted a systematic literature review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of beta-blockers in migraine prophylaxis. For the analysis of efficacy, the outcome measures considered were: persisting monthly headache/migraine days, defined as the residual days reported by patients at the end of treatment; change in monthly headache/migraine days, defined as the variation in days reported by patients from baseline to the end of follow-up; and responder rate, defined as the proportions of patients reporting a ≥ 50% reduction of monthly headache/migraine days or monthly attacks compared with baseline. The application presented the results for propranolol and bisoprolol, both versus placebo, only. No studies were identified that compared propranolol and bisoprolol. Propranolol Ten randomized controlled trials comparing propranolol with placebo for migraine prophylaxis were identified, eight of which did not report the predefined efficacy outcomes (7-14). Of the remaining two, one was a placebo-controlled trial which evaluated topiramate for prophylaxis in episodic migraine with propranolol as an active control arm (15). The other was a comparative study of candesartan versus propranolol for migraine prophylaxis without distinction between episodic and chronic (16). In the randomized controlled trial in episodic migraine, for the outcome of change in monthly migraine days, there was low-certainty evidence that propranolol 160 mg was superior to placebo (standardized mean difference (SMD) -0.27, 95% confidence interval (CI) -0.51 to -0.04; one randomized controlled trial, 286 participants). For the outcome of ≥ 50% responder rate, there was low-certainty evidence that propranolol 160 mg was superior to placebo (risk ratio (RR) 1.97, 95% CI 1.37 to 2.83; one randomized controlled trial, 286 participants). In the randomized controlled trial without distinction between episodic and chronic migraine, there was moderate-certainty evidence of no significant difference between propranolol 160 mg and placebo for persisting monthly migraine days (SMD -0.31, 95% CI -0.67 to 0.05; one randomized controlled trials, 120 participants) or of ≥ 50% responder rate (RR 1.71, 95% CI 0.99 to 2.98; one randomized controlled trial, 120 participants). From the remaining studies, there was low-certainty evidence of benefits of propranolol 80 mg (RR 2.17, 95% CI 1.06 to 4.42; one randomized controlled trial, 36 participants) and 160 mg (RR 2.00, 95% CI 1.37 to 2.92; one randomized controlled trial, 72 participants) over placebo for ≥ 50% responder rate, and of propranolol 160 mg over placebo for persisting monthly migraine attacks, however for this outcome, the difference was not significant (SMD -1.00, 95% CI -2.00 to 0.01; four randomized controlled trials, 334 participants). Bisoprolol One randomized controlled trial (152 participants) was identified comparing bisoprolol 5 mg or 10 mg with placebo as prophylaxis in patients with migraine without distinction between episodic and chronic (17). For the outcome of persisting monthly migraine days, there was very-low-certainty evidence for benefit of bisoprolol 5 mg (SMD -0.38, 95% CI -0.70 to -0.06) and bisoprolol 10 mg (SMD -0.36, 95% CI -0.68 to -0.04) over placebo. For the outcome of the change in monthly migraine days, there was very-low-certainty evidence for benefit of bisoprolol 5 mg and 10 mg (SMD 0.34, 95% CI 0.02 to 0.66).

#### Torts

Adverse effects associated with beta-blockers are well known and can be significant. Effects vary within the class depending on the cardioselectivity of the medicines. Contraindications include use in people with asthma, congestive heart failure and depression. From the randomized controlled trial comparing bisoprolol and placebo, the most frequently reported adverse events were fatigue and dizziness, with the difference between bisoprolol and placebo for dizziness being statistically significant. Heart rate, and systolic and diastolic blood pressure were significantly lower in the bisoprolol groups than in the placebo group (17).

#### Preuves supplémentaires

A 2019 systematic review and network meta-analysis of 158 randomized controlled trials evaluated the efficacy of beta-blockers in preventing migraine and tension-type headache (18). The results of the network meta-analysis found high-quality evidence that propranolol is better than placebo, but found no significant differences between beta-blockers (propranolol, bisoprolol, metoprolol, timolol and nadolol) for the outcomes of headache frequency at 8 weeks and 12 weeks.

#### Rapport coût/efficacité

The application stated that no published studies existed on the cost-effectiveness of propranolol or bisoprolol for migraine prevention. The application and presented a new modelled cost-effectiveness assessment comparing bisoprolol 5 mg daily with propranolol 160 mg. Costs per healthy life year gained were 136 United States dollars (US\$) for bisoprolol and US\$ 417 for propranolol. Incremental cost-effectiveness modelling found propranolol to be dominated by bisoprolol. The analyses were sensitive to medicine costs. The application highlighted the wide uncertainties in the analyses due to the poor quality of the trial data used in the model.

#### Directives de l'OMS

WHO guidelines for migraine prophylaxis are not currently available. The application provided a brief summary of recommendations involving beta-blockers for migraine prophylaxis from various national and international guidelines.

#### Disponibilité

Bisoprolol has wide global regulatory approval and market availability. Generics are available in most countries.

#### Autres considérations

The Department of Mental Health, Brain Health and Substance Use provided comments on the application. The technical department acknowledged the limitations of the evidence presented in the application but advised that including bisoprolol as an alternative to propranolol on the EML could potentially support countries in prioritizing its inclusion in national policies and procurement plans, which may help to improve appropriate use, availability and affordability.

Afficher les références Masquer les références

1. Steiner TJ, Stovner LJ. Global epidemiology of migraine and its implications for public health and health policy. *Nat Rev Neurol.* 2023;19(2):109-17 (<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-022-00763-1>).
2. Stovner LJ, Hagen K, Linde M, Steiner TJ. The global prevalence of headache: an update, with analysis of the influences of methodological factors on prevalence estimates. *J Headache Pain.* 2022;23(1):34 (<https://doi.org/10.1186/s10194-022-01402-2>).
3. Global, regional, and national burden of disorders affecting the nervous system, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet Neurol.* 2024;23(4):344-81 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422\(24\)00038-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(24)00038-3)).
4. Global, regional, and national burden of migraine and tension-type headache, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Neurol.* 2018;17(11):954-76 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422\(18\)30322-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(18)30322-3)).
5. Katsarava Z, Schneeweiss S, Kurth T, Kroener U, Fritsche G, Eikermann A et al. Incidence and predictors for chronicity of headache in patients with episodic migraine. *Neurology.* 2004;62(5):788-90 (<https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000113747.18760.d2>).
6. Lipton RB, Buse DC, Nahas SJ, Tietjen GE, Martin VT, Lof E et al. Risk factors for migraine disease progression: a narrative review for a patient-centered approach. *J Neurol.* 2023;270(12):5692-710 (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-023-11880-2>).
7. Borgesen SE, Nielsen JL, Moller CE. Prophylactic treatment of migraine with propranolol. A clinical trial. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 1974;50(5):651-6 (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.1974.tb02810.x>).
8. Wideroe TE, Vigander T. Propranolol in the treatment of migraine. *Br Med J.* 1974;2(5921):699-701 (<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.2.5921.699>).
9. Stensrud P, Sjaastad O. Short-term clinical trial of phopranolol in racemic form (Inderal), D-propranolol and placebo in migraine. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 1976;53(3):229-32 (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.1976.tb04342.x>).
10. Standnes B. The prophylactic effect of timolol versus propranolol and placebo in common migraine: beta-blockers in migraine. *Cephalalgia.* 1982;2(3):165-70 (<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1468-2982.1982.0203165.x>).
11. Diener HC, Föh M, Iaccarino C, Wessely P, Isler H, Streng H et al. Cyclandelate in the prophylaxis of migraine: a randomized, parallel, double-blind study in comparison with placebo and propranolol. The Study group. *Cephalalgia.* 1996;16(6):441-7 (<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1468-2982.1996.1606441.x>).
12. Tfelt-Hansen P, Standnes B, Kangasneimi P, Hakkarainen H, Olesen J. Timolol vs propranolol vs placebo in common migraine prophylaxis: a double-blind multicenter trial. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 1984;69(1):1-8 (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.1984.tb07772.x>).
13. Pradalier A, Serratrice G, Collard M, Hirsch E, Fève J, Masson M et al. Long-acting propranolol in migraine prophylaxis: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Cephalalgia.* 1989;9(4):247-53 (<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1468-2982.1989.0904247.x>).
14. Dahlöf C. No clearcut longterm prophylactic effect of one month of treatment with propranolol in migraineurs. *Cephalalgia.* 1987;7(6 Suppl):459-60 (<https://doi.org/10.1177/03331024870070S6204>).
15. Chowdhury D, Bansal L, Duggal A, Datta D, Mundra A, Krishnan A et al. TOP-PRO study: a randomized double-blind controlled trial of topiramate versus propranolol for prevention of chronic migraine. *Cephalalgia.* 2022;42(4-5):396-408 (<https://doi.org/10.1177/03331024211047454>).
16. Stovner LJ, Linde M, Gravdahl GB, Tronvik E, Aamodt AH, Sand T et al. A comparative study of candesartan versus propranolol for migraine prophylaxis: a randomised, triple-blind, placebo-controlled, double cross-over study. *Cephalalgia.* 2014;34(7):523-32 (<https://doi.org/10.1177/0333102413515348>).
17. van de Ven LL, Franke CL, Koehler PJ. Prophylactic treatment of migraine with bisoprolol: a placebo-controlled study. *Cephalalgia.* 1997;17(5):596-9 (<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1468-2982.1997.1705596.x>).
18. Jackson JL, Kuriyama A, Kuwatsuka Y, Nickoloff S, Storch D, Jackson W et al. Beta-blockers for the prevention of headache in adults, a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(3):e0212785 (<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212785>).