

Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents

REFUSÉE

Le Comité d'experts, après évaluation, refuse d'inscrire le médicament proposé dans la demande. La Liste Modèle des Médicaments Essentiels fait état des raisons que les membres du Comité ont identifiées pour refuser l'inscription.

Section: 8. Immunomodulators and antineoplastics > 8.2. Antineoplastics and supportive medicines > 8.2.5. Supportive medicines

	EMLc	Codes ATC: B03XA01 B03XA02
Indication	Drug-induced aplastic anaemia	Code ICD11: 3A70.10
INN	Epoetin alfa	
Type de médicament	Chemical agent	
Type de liste	Liste complémentaire (EML) (EMLc)	
Formulations	Parenteral > General injections > unspecified: 2000 IU per mL in vial (single-dose) ; 3000 IU per mL in vial (single-dose) ; 4000 IU per mL in vial (single-dose) ; 10000 IU per mL in vial (single-dose) ; 40000 IU per mL in vial (single-dose) ; 20000 IU per mL in vial (multiple-dose) ; 20000 IU per 2 mL in vial (multiple-dose)	
Historique des statuts LME	Demande refusée en 2025 (TRS 1064)	
Sexe	Tous	
Âge	Aussi recommandé pour les enfants	
Équivalence thérapeutique	epoetin alfa (Codes ATC: B03XA01) epoetin beta (Codes ATC: B03XA01) darbepoetin alfa (Codes ATC: B03XA02)	
Renseignements sur le brevet	Patents have expired in most jurisdictions Lire la suite sur les brevets. ↗	
Balises	Biological	
Wikipédia	Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents ↗	
DrugBank	Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (Erythropoietin) ↗	

Recommandation du comité d'experts

The Expert Committee acknowledged that anaemia is a relatively common complication of chemotherapy treatment and is associated with reduced quality of life and functional capacity for patients. The Committee noted that judicious use of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents in patients with chemotherapy-induced anaemia has been shown to improve haemoglobin levels, may reduce transfusion requirements and may be associated with improvements in quality of life. However, their use has not been shown to improve overall survival, with some studies reporting inferior survival and worse cancer outcomes as these medicines might stimulate tumour growth directly or affect the tumour microenvironment limiting effective immune responses. The Committee also noted that guidelines from professional societies (e.g. the American Society of Clinical Oncology and the European Society of Medical Oncology) recommend careful patient selection, informed consent about risks and conservative dosing – factors that are important limitations in terms of feasibility in the use of this class of medicines. Therefore, the Expert Committee did not recommend the expansion of the indications for erythropoiesis-stimulating agents on the EML and EMLc to include supportive management of chemotherapy-induced anaemia, based on evidence of a potentially unfavourable long-term benefit-to-harm profile.

Contexte

Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents have not previously been evaluated for inclusion on the Model Lists for the proposed indication. Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (including biosimilars) have been included on the Model Lists since 2017 for the treatment of adults and children with anaemia of chronic kidney disease (1).

Pertinence pour la santé publique

Anaemia is a condition characterized by deficiency of red blood cells or haemoglobin, which diminishes the capacity of the blood to carry oxygen necessary for tissues and organs (2). It is a common adverse effect of myelosuppressive chemotherapy and affects around 80% of patients undergoing treatment (2, 3). Symptoms include fatigue, drowsiness, depression, dyspnoea, tachycardia and dizziness. Chemotherapy-induced anaemia can have a negative effect on quality of life, and it is associated with substantial economic burden and medical costs (4–6). Chemotherapy-induced anaemia may be treated using red blood cell transfusions. In low- and middle-income countries, availability of high-quality blood transfusion services for anaemia management may be limited. The WHO Global Status Report on Blood Safety and Availability 2021 reported lower rates of whole blood donations per 1000 population in low- and middle-income countries, as well as higher rates of blood donations with positive/reactive results for transfusion-transmissible infections on screening tests (7).

Bénéfices

The application did not report having conducted a systematic search of the literature and did not provide an adequate summary of the evidence to support the comparative effectiveness of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced anaemia.

Torts

The application did not report having conducted a systematic search of the literature and did not provide an adequate summary of the evidence to support the comparative safety of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced anaemia. The application stated that the use of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents has been associated with increased risk of thromboembolic events (particularly when haemoglobin levels are higher than 12 g/dL) and increased risk of tumour progression (8, 9).

Preuves supplémentaires

The following evidence was identified during the application review period. A 2012 Cochrane systematic review of 91 randomized controlled trials (20 102 participants) assessed the effects of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents for the prevention or treatment of anaemia in cancer patients (10). Only one of the trials evaluated erythropoiesis-stimulating agents in children. The review found that the use of erythropoietin or darbepoetin significantly reduced the risk of requiring red blood cell transfusions (risk ratio (RR) 0.65, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.62 to 0.68; 70 trials, 15 935 participants). Additionally, participants receiving erythropoiesis-stimulating agents received fewer units of blood if transfused than controls (mean difference (MD) -0.98 units, 95% CI -1.17 to -0.78; 19 trials, 4715 participants). More participants receiving erythropoiesis-stimulating agents achieved a haematological response – defined as the proportion of patients with an increase in haemoglobin level of 2 g/dL or more, or an increase in haematocrit of 6% points or more – (RR 3.93, 95% CI 3.10 to 3.71; 31 trials, 6413 participants). There was low-certainty evidence that erythropoiesis-stimulating agents may improve quality of life. There was high-certainty evidence that erythropoiesis-stimulating agents may decrease overall survival (hazard ratio (HR) 1.05, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.11; 78 trials, 19 003 participants) and increase the risk of death (HR 1.17, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.29; 70 trials, 15 935 participants). Mortality risk was higher in patients with higher baseline haemoglobin levels > 12 g/dL (HR 1.37, 95% CI 1.12 to 1.68; 13 trials, 3923 participants). Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents were also associated with an increased risk of thromboembolic complications (RR 1.52, 95% CI 1.34 to 1.74, 57 trials, 15 498 participants), hypertension (RR 1.30, 95% CI 1.08 to 1.56; 31 trials 7228 participants) and thrombocytopenia/haemorrhage (RR 1.21, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.42, 21 trials, 4507 participants). A 2009 meta-analysis of 53 randomized controlled trials (13 933 participants) evaluated mortality risk of recombinant human erythropoiesis-stimulating agents in patients with cancer (11). In 38/53 (72%) trials, patients received chemotherapy, while in 5/53 (9%) trials, patient received radio-chemotherapy. The remaining trials did not include the use of chemotherapy. Median follow-up was 3.7 months and 3.9 months in the erythropoiesis-stimulating agents and control groups, respectively. Across all trials, treatment with

erythropoiesis-stimulating agents was associated with an increased mortality risk (HR 1.17, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.30). Considering only the chemotherapy trials, the mortality risk remained increased, albeit slightly lower (HR 1.10, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.24).

Rapport coût/efficacité

The application stated that the cost of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents varies across regions. Prices can be higher in low- and middle-income countries than in high-income countries due to import and supply chain costs. The introduction of biosimilars has helped to reduce costs (12). The application identified several cost-effectiveness analyses for erythropoiesis-stimulating agents in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced anaemia (13–18), but highlighted their limitations as they were all conducted in high-income countries only and were not published recently.

Directives de l'OMS

WHO guidelines for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced anaemia are not available. In clinical guidelines from various oncology and haematology organizations, recommendations for the use of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents include: to consider using only in patients with symptomatic chemotherapy-induced anaemia when haemoglobin levels are lower than 10 g/dL; cautioning against use in patients receiving chemotherapy with curative intent; discussing the potential risks and benefits with patients and obtaining informed consent; regular monitoring; and using the lowest effective dose to achieve target haemoglobin levels.

Disponibilité

Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents, including biosimilars, are widely available in high-income countries but may be less widely available and accessible in resource-constrained countries.

Autres considérations

The cancer team within the Department of Noncommunicable Diseases, Rehabilitation and Disability reviewed and provided comments on the application. The technical team supported the inclusion of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents on the Model Lists for chemotherapy-induced anaemia in a carefully defined subset of patients who were adhering to established supportive care standards and were under the close supervision of trained health-care professionals to ensure safe and effective use. The technical team noted that although data do not support a survival benefit from these medicines, their use may be justified in selected patients to reduce red blood cell transfusions and improve quality of life.

1. The selection and use of essential medicines. Report of the WHO Expert Committee, 2017 (including the 20th WHO Model List of Essential Medicines and the 6th WHO Model List of Essential Medicines for Children). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017 (WHO Technical Report Series, No. 1006; <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/259481>). License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
2. Arantes LH, Jr., Crawford J, Gascon P, Latymer M, Launay-Vacher V, Rolland C et al. A quick scoping review of efficacy, safety, economic, and health-related quality-of-life outcomes of short- and long-acting erythropoiesis-stimulating agents in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced anemia and chronic kidney disease anemia. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2018;129:79–90 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2018.06.010>).
3. Biswas G, Pandey A, Ghadyalpatil N, Lokeshwar N, Thomas B, Ramesh A et al. Role of Cresp® in the management of chemotherapy-induced anemia in cancer patients: a real-world clinical practice audit. *South Asian J Cancer*. 2020;9(1):59–61 (https://doi.org/10.4103/sajc.sajc_246_19).
4. Cannavale K, Xu H, Xu L, Sattayapiwat O, Rodriguez R, Bohac C et al. Epidemiology of chemotherapy-induced anemia in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Perm J*. 2019;23:18–252 (<https://doi.org/10.7812/tpp/18-252>).
5. Razzaghdoust A, Mofid B, Peyghambarlou P. Predictors of chemotherapy-induced severe anemia in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy. *Support Care Cancer*. 2020;28(1):155–61 (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-019-04780-7>).
6. Park LC, Song YJ, Kim DJ, Kim MJ, Jo JC, Lee WS et al. The effects of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents on the management of chemotherapy-induced anemia and tumor growth in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients. *Int J Cancer*. 2019;145(9):2459–67 (<https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32328>).
7. Global status report on blood safety and availability 2021. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022 (<https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/356165>). License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO
8. Henke M, Laszig R, Rube C, Schafer U, Haase KD, Schilcher B et al. Erythropoietin to treat head and neck cancer patients with anemia undergoing radiotherapy: randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9392):1255–60 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)14567-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14567-9)).
9. Leyland-Jones B, Bondarenko I, Nemsadze G, Smirnov V, Litvin I, Kokhraidze I et al. A randomized, open-label, multicenter, phase iii study of epoetin alfa versus best standard of care in anemic patients with metastatic breast cancer receiving standard chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(11):1197–207 (<https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2015.63.5649>).
10. Tonia T, Mettler A, Robert N, Schwarzer G, Seidenfeld J, Weingart O et al. Erythropoietin or darbepoetin for patients with cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;12(12):CD003407 (<https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003407.pub5>).
11. Bohlius J, Schmidlin K, Brillant C, Schwarzer G, Trelle S, Seidenfeld J et al. Recombinant human erythropoiesis-stimulating agents and mortality in patients with cancer: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2009;373(9674):1532–42 ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(09\)60502-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60502-X)).
12. Aapro M, Cornes P, Sun D, Abraham I. Comparative cost efficiency across the European G5 countries of originators and a biosimilar erythropoiesis-stimulating agent to manage chemotherapy-induced anemia in patients with cancer. *Ther Adv Med Oncol*. 2012;4(3):95–105 (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1758834012444499>).
13. Ben-Hamadi R, Duh MS, Aggarwal J, Henckler A, McKenzie RS, Tak Piech C. The cost-effectiveness of weekly epoetin alfa relative

e to weekly darbepoetin alfa in patients with chemotherapy-induced anemia. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2005;21(10):1677–82 (<https://doi.org/10.1185/030079905x65501>).

14. Huxley N, Haasova M, Crathorne L, Hyde C. What is the Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of erythropoietin-stimulating agents for the treatment of patients with cancer-treatment induced anaemia? insights from cumulative meta-analyses (CMA) and lessons for cost-effectiveness analyses. *Value Health.* 2014;17(7):A617 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2014.08.2180>).

15. Barosi G, Marchetti M, Liberato NL. Cost-effectiveness of recombinant human erythropoietin in the prevention of chemotherapy-induced anaemia. *Br J Cancer.* 1998;78(6):781–7 (<https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1998.579>).

16. Borg S, Glenngard AH, Osterborg A, Persson U. The cost-effectiveness of treatment with erythropoietin compared to red blood cell transfusions for patients with chemotherapy induced anaemia: a Markov model. *Acta Oncol.* 2008;47(6):1009–17 (<https://doi.org/10.1080/02841860701744498>).

17. Crathorne L, Huxley N, Haasova M, Snowsill T, Jones-Hughes T, Hoyle M et al. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (epoetin and darbepoetin) for treating cancer treatment-induced anaemia (including review of technology appraisal no. 142): a systematic review and economic model. *Health Technol Assess.* 2016;20(13):1–588, v-vi (<https://doi.org/10.3310/hta20130>).

18. Klarenbach S, Manns B, Reiman T, Reaume MN, Lee H, Lloyd A et al. Economic evaluation of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents for anemia related to cancer. *Cancer.* 2010;116(13):3224–32 (<https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.25052>).

